

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80780 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2025 Thana- NAVINAGAR District- Aurangabad

1. Chintu Kumar Yadav @ Chintu Yadav Son of Shambhu Yadav Resident of Village- Ranga Bigha, P.S.- Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad
2. Suraj Rajak @ Suraj Kumar Baitha Son of Manoj Baitha Resident of Village- Ranga Bigha, P.S.- Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad
3. Niraj Kumar Son of Awdhesh Rajak Resident of Village- Ranga Bigha, P.S.- Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastri, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 03-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastri, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 309 (4) of the BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the informant is the driver of the tempo and owner as well. On 27.06.2025 accused persons booked the tempo from Thana more Dehri to Ghirsindhi and after reaching there at 11 PM, they snatched the mobile, Rs.500/- and also the key of the said vehicle and fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted



that during course of investigation petitioner no.1 was apprehended and he has confessed his guilt and has named the other two petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the tempo has been recovered from an open place. From perusal of the order of the learned trial court it is clear that the learned trial court has recorded particularly in Paragraph '77' that the petitioners were proceedings towards the State of Jharkhand with the intention to dispose of the stolen tempo but they abandoned the said vehicle and fled away from the spot. It is the assumption of the police that they were going to dispose of the said tempo. Learned counsel for the petitioners has lastly submitted that the petitioners are having clean antecedent and are in judicial custody since 06.08.2025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail to the petitioner and conceded that the petitioners have confessed their guilt.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be released on bail in connection with Nabinagar P.S. Case No. 208 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each of them with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM,
Aurangabad.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

