

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79537 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-306 Year-2017 Thana- BARHARA District- Bhojpur

Monu Pandey @ Monu Kumar Pandey, S/o Dudheshwar Pandey, R/o vill -
Sabalpur, Devghar, P.S.- Barhara, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Shweta, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mrs. Shairda Kumari, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Barhara P.S. Case No. 306 of 2017 dated 24.10.2017 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 326, 498A, 304B, 201 read with Section 34 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant got the marriage of her daughter, Khushbu Devi solemnized with Monu Pandey (petitioner) three and half years ago. It is further alleged that after the marriage of her daughter, in-laws of the informant's daughter were torturing her and always used to assault her. It is further alleged that on 09.10.2017 at about



18.00 O'clock the in-laws of the informant's daughter, burnt her by pouring Kerosene Oil.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the deceased. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased. It is further submitted that from perusal of the F.I.R., it is evident that mainly allegation has been made against the in-laws of the deceased. The petitioner was not present at the alleged time of occurrence and he was out of the house at the time of the incident which proved that he had nothing to do with the death of his wife. There is general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and has further submitted that the petitioner alongwith the other co-accused persons killed the deceased by pouring Kerosene Oil on her body.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the same is rejected with a direction to the



petitioner to surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and the learned court below shall consider the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner on the same day without being prejudiced of the order of this Court in connection with Barhara P.S. Case No. 306 of 2017, pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ara at Bhojpur.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

