

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80238 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-436 Year-2025 Thana- HISUWA District- Nawada

Ayush Ranjan @ Rajeev Ranjan S/o Rakesh Singh @ Rakesh Raushan, R/o
Village- Gopalpur, P.S- Shakurabad, Dist- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Hisua P.S. Case No. 436 of 2025 dated 26.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5), 312 and 109(1) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, in order to commit dacoity some miscreants entered into the residential office of the informant. One of them fired upon the informant and the shot missed him. When the brother of the informant raised alarm, all six miscreants fled away from the spot and their activities were recorded in the CCTV installed in the shop-cum-house of the informant. Petitioner is said to be one such miscreant.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and he has no involvement in the alleged occurrence. In the CCTV recording, there is no footage of this petitioner and this fact also falsifies the allegation against the petitioner, whose name came up in the confessional statement of the co-accused Abhishek Kumar. The petitioner has not been put up to any test identification parade. Except for the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no material against the petitioner. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having antecedent of one case in which he is on bail and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 04.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that petitioner along with other co-accused persons made an attempt to commit dacoity in the house of the informant.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the submission regarding the absence of any substantive material and submission of chargesheet along with his period of custody,



the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Nawada / concerned Court, in connection with **Hisua P.S. Case No. 436 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of non-appearance of the petitioner on single date or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

