

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80964 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Sheohar

Dipak Mishra @ Dipak Kumar Mishra Son of Dwarika Nath Mishra R/o
Village - Bakhar Chandiha, P.S. - Purnhiya, Dist. - Sheohar(Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Aarti Kumari Wife of Dipak Mishra, D/o Pramod Jha R/o Village - Khaira
Pahari, P.S. - Purniya, Dist. - Sheohar(Bihar).

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Purushotam Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 05-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Complaint Case No. 77 of 2024 registered for
the offences punishable u/ss 323, 498A read with Section 34 of
the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the
co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant
mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of
dowry and ousted her from the matrimonial home.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."** Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023.** The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Sheohar in connection with Complaint Case No. 77 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, with further condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

