

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80497 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-265 Year-2025 Thana- DARAUNDA District- Siwan

1. Atma Pandey S/O Rampravesh Pandey Resident of Vill.- Mahuwal Mahal ,P.S.- M.H. Nagar, Dist.- Siwan
2. Roushan Pandey @ Roushan Kumar Pandey S/O Atma Pandey Resident of Vill.- Mahuwal Mahal ,P.S.- M.H. Nagar, Dist.- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Chandra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 has antecedent of one case and petitioner no.2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons came and on orders of petitioner no.1, accused Raushan pointed pistol and Ankit assaulted by khanti repeatedly causing injury, thereafter Raushan assaulted by pistol



on his face causing injury near his eyes. Further, Sandeep assaulted by rod causing injury on his head and hand and the hand got fractured from three places. Further, the accused destroyed the household articles and Raushan snatched his chain and along with Sandeep also took his motorcycle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that it is very easy to implicate someone with an allegation that the occurrence was committed based on the order of an accused. It is next submitted that petitioner no.1 is alleged to be an order giver and Raushan is alleged to have assaulted by pistol causing injury on his face and also is alleged to have snatched chain and took the motorcycle of the informant along with Sandeep, but then, it is submitted that the case is not instituted under the Arms Act. It is reiterated and submitted that Raushan is a person with clean antecedent and the injury found on the head is opined to be grievous, but then, petitioners are not alleged to have assaulted the injured on head.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Daraunda P. S. Case No.265 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

