

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79851 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-221 Year-2025 Thana- MOHANPUR District- Gaya

=====

Anuj Singh @ Anuj Kumar Singh S/O Mahendra Singh R/O Village- Koshila,
P.S.- Magadh University, Dist.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2),
190, 126(2), 121(1), 121(2), 132 and 109 of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that on 10.06.2025 the police force reached Niranjana River to
raid illegal sand mining and liquor, when 8-10 accused fled
away, accordingly, the force was coming back to the police
station with the seized tractor laden with sand when sand Mafia
attacked the force causing injury, accordingly, they fled leaving
the tractor and local people and Chawkidar subsequently,
disclosed the name of the accused persons including the
petitioner.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that the petitioner came to be implicated at the instance of the Chawkidar with whom he is on an inimical term. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the police personnel has been opined to be simple in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the police on receiving information had reached the place of occurrence to apprehend illegal sand Mafia and on seeing the police the accused persons present at the place of occurrence fled and when the police was coming back with the tractor laden with sand the accused persons came and assaulted the force and took away the tractor. It is next submitted that even presuming that the injury suffered by the police personnel has been opined to be simple in nature but that in itself does not entitle the petitioner to grant of the privilege of anticipatory bail



when he is implicated earlier in a case relating to organized crime.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Mohanpur P.S. Case No. 221 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Sherghati, Gaya/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

