

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85070 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-664 Year-2020 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Begusarai

Md. Sajjad Son of Late Md. Badruddin Resident of Kaidrabad, Ward No. 4,  
P.S.- Bachhwara, Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sultana Praween Wife of Md. Sajjad and D/O- Md. Ajhar Resident of  
Damodarpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                           |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP   |
| For the Informant        | : | None                      |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4      20-06-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned APP for the State. None appears on behalf of the  
  
informant despite valid service of notice.

2. Earlier the anticipatory bail of the petitioner was  
rejected vide order dated 14.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.  
63318 of 2023 (Annexure- P1).

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Complaint Case No. 644C of 2020, instituted for the offences  
punishable under Sections 498(A), 323 and 504 of the Indian  
Penal Code

4. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner  
along with other co-accused persons assaulted the complainant



and tried to burn her on non-fulfillment of demand of dowry made by the accused persons.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is husband of the complainant. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. No such demand of dowry has been made by the petitioner. It is next submitted that the matter was referred for mediation but then the mediation failed. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to keep the OP No. 2 with full honour and dignity as his wife. The petitioner is in custody since 29.07.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 644C of 2020, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Rajorshi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

