

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19158 of 2025

=====

Ram Bharos Ray @ Ram Bharos Yadav son of Late Bhola Yadav @ Bhola Roy, Resident of Village- Hakimabad, P.S.-Samastipur (M), District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Samastipur at Samastipur.
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Samastipur at Samastipur.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Samastipur at Samastipur.
4. The Anchaladhikari, Samastipur at Samastipur.
5. Anil Ray son of Pandav Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
6. Ramesh Ray son of Late Harkishun Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
7. Sanjit Ray son of Pandav Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
8. Mithilesh Ray son of Parmanand Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
9. Ram Bilash Ray son of Late Hoti Lal Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
10. Umesh Ray son of Ram Narayan Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
11. Ganesh Ray son of Late Ram Swarath Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
12. Sujit Ray son of Umesh Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.
13. Manoj Ray son of Ramchandra Ray Resident of Village- Jeetwarpur Chouthan, P.S.-Samastipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Additional Advocate General (13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 27-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):



“(i) For the issuance of an appropriate Writ directing the respondents to restrain the Respondents 2nd Set from committing any nuisance over the land of the petitioner.

(ii) For the issuance of an appropriate Writ directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 13.9.2025.

(iii) For the issuance of an appropriate Writ/Order as your Lordships may deem fit and proper for the ends of Justice.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be preferring a fresh representation before an appropriate authority within four weeks.

4. The State has no objection to it.

5. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

