

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78701 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-215 Year-2024 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

Ramsagar Puri S/o Late Chhattu Puri Resident of village - Fulwar, P.S.-
Pakaridayal, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Pakaridayal P.S. Case No. 215 of 2024, NDPS G.R. Case No. 69
of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 8(c)(, 20(b)(ii)
(c), 22 of the NDPS Act.

3. Prosecution allegation, in short, is that 320.04
kilogram *ganja* has been recovered in this case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. The petitioner is in custody since 17.06.2025 and
has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of
tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. No



incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the shop of co-accused Shriram Puri. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner is being dragged in his case merely because the petitioner happens to be the father of the co-accused Shriram Puri. There is no compliance of Sections 42 and 50 of the N.D.P.S. Act. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 21.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 38058 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that the recovered contraband is more the commercial quantity and therefore, the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Pakaridayal P.S. Case No. 215 of 2024, NDPS G.R. Case No. 69 of 202, subject



to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

