

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86975 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2024 Thana- TISIAUTA District- Vaishali

=====

Satyam Kumar S/o Shailesh Kumar Singh @ Shailesh Singh R/o Vill.-
Raghopur, P.S.- Jurawanpur, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Shankar Das, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2025 Heard Mr. Ram Shankar Das, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ashok Kumar
Singh, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Tisiouta P.S. Case No. 111 of 2024 for the offence punishable
under Section 140(3) and 308(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The allegation is of abduction of the married
daughter of the informant and demand of Rs.30 lacs from the
informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has
falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits
that the the victim in her statement recorded under Section 164
Cr.P.C. has admitted that she was in relationship with the
petitioner before marriage and she herself had gone at his home.



The allegation of demand of Rs.30 lacs is also not well founded. The daughter of the informant is in habit to come to the house of the petitioner. The petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 17.09.2024. On these grounds, learned counsel seeks that the petitioner be released on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that the victim had admitted in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. that she was in relationship with the petitioner even after her marriage and she had herself gone to the house of the petitioner, I find that the petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Hajipur in connection with Tisiouta P.S. Case No. 111 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court



concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(4) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence, after his release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel his bail bonds.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

