

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78659 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2025 Thana- ANDHRAMATH District- Madhubani

Akhilesh Kumar Yadav S/o Shri Ram Yadav @ Shriram Yadav R/o Village-  
Hariraha, P.S.- Andhramath, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      04-12-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 274, 275 BNS and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 68.1 litres of liquor from a sack. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Andhramath P.S. Case No.91/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

