

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78807 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2025 Thana- CHENARI District- Rohtas

-
1. SRI KISHUN RAM Son of Late Raghunath Ram Resident of village Khudunu Kala, P.S.- Chenari, District - Rohtas.
 2. Ajay Ram Son of Sri Kishun Ram Resident of village Khudunu Kala, P.S.- Chenari, District - Rohtas.
 3. Sanjay Ram Son of Sri Kishun Ram Resident of village Khudunu Kala, P.S.- Chenari, District - Rohtas.
 4. Birbal Ram Son of Sri Kishun Ram Resident of village Khudunu Kala, P.S.- Chenari, District - Rohtas.
 5. Shershah Kumar Son of Sri Kishun Ram Resident of village Khudunu Kala, P.S.- Chenari, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Shaheen Begum, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-12-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No. 19 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 74, 115(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., petitioner no.5 along with his two friends are said to have misbehaved with the informant and her husband and also snatched a gold



chain worth Rs. 30,000/- and Rs. 5000/- cash from the pocket of her husband. On the next day, the petitioners again misbehaved with the informant and assaulted her.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence as alleged in the F.I.R. There is case and counter case between the parties. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus. The injury sustained by the victim is simple in nature. The petitioners have clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the nature of allegation made against the petitioners and the fact that the injury sustained by the victim is simple in nature, the petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No. 19 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2)



Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

7. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

