

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79649 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-556 Year-2017 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Anand Kumar @ Anand Kumar Rajappan S/O Mr. Ramkrishnan Rajappan
R/O Pulkit Apartment- 501, P.S.- D. N. Nagar, District- Mumbai, State -
Maharashtra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Prashant Kumar Poddar S/O Sri Pradeep Kumar Poddar R/O Hotel Lane,
Frazer Road, P.S- Kotwali, Distt.- Patna, Bihar (Sole, Proprietor of M/S
Shree Ambey Traders, 76, Vrindavan Vihar, Ajmer Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Complaint Case No. 556 (C) of 2017, instituted for the offences
under Sections 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that petitioner along
with other co-accused embezzled money of the Opposite party
No.2 with oblique motive.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. The present case is misuse of privilege of bail earlier



granted to the petitioner. Earlier, the petitioner has been granted regular bail vide order dated 05.02.2018 by the learned ACJM-X, Patna. He further submits that due to non-appearance of petitioner, the bail bond of the petitioner got cancelled vide order dated 01.06.2022. Thereafter, petitioner has been arrested on 26.07.2025. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner has not been given any information regarding cancellation of his bail bond by his counsel. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 28.07.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner undertakes to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court, if released on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner as also the undertaking given by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case
No. 556 (C) of 2017, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of
the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date
fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two
consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the
bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

