

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85465 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2024 Thana- BAJPATTI District- Sitamarhi

Niraj Jha @ Rishi Jha Son of Ramnaresh Jha Resident of Village- Rasulpur,
P.S.- Dumra, Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 05-06-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and
Learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Bajpatti P.S. Case No.148 of 2024 lodged on 26.05.2024 under
Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the IPC.

3. As per the prosecution, the F.I.R. has been lodged
against unknown accused persons with allegation that they have
called son of the informant and subsequently, his dead body was
recovered.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits
that the petitioner's name has figured in this case only on the
basis of suspicion. He further submits that petitioner is not
named in the FIR. There is absolutely no material found against
the petitioner in this case.

5. Learned Counsel further submits that the petitioner
is in custody since 29.06.2024, having one criminal antecedent.



6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that father of the deceased has categorically indicated that they all came at the house of informant with whom his son went with them. Upon query by the father of the victim, they said that his son will return after 4 days, but after 2 days, his dead body was recovered.

7. Counsel further submits that there is direct involvement of the petitioner and it is a case of strong suspicion.

8. Upon specific query whether charge has been framed or not. Counsel submits that as per his knowledge, charge has not been framed till date.

9. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected, but liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that he may renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Siddharth
Sagar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

