

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80610 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2025 Thana- KHIRHAR District- Madhubani

1. Anila Jha @ Anil Devi W/o Dilip Kumar Jha R/o Village- Sonai, P.S- Khirhar, Dist- Madhubani
2. Ravi Kumar Jha S/o Dilip Kumar Jha R/o Village- Sonai, P.S- Khirhar, Dist- Madhubani
3. Dilip Kumar Jha @ Dilip Jha S/o Chandra Kant Jha R/o Village- Sonai, P.S- Khirhar, Dist- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Alias Ashok Karn
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 is a person with clean antecedent and petitioner nos. 2 and 3 have antecedent of one case and petitioner no. 1 is a woman, and the informant alleges that he along with his brother and father were preparing the survey papers, when at 9:30 PM,



Ravi came in a drunken condition and started abusing his father, on objection, Ravi assaulted by a sharp weapon on his head causing injury, thereafter Dilip sat on his chest and assaulted by *lordhi*, while Anila Devi assaulted by slippers and thereafter Ravi again assaulted by chair, next alleges that accused persons have given money for getting him killed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to property. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioners and informant reside in the same house and informant and petitioner no. 3 are own brothers. It is further submitted that from the side of the petitioners Khirhar PS Case No. 106 of 2025 has been instituted against the informant and his side. It is also submitted that though it is alleged that Ravi came in a drunken condition, but then the case is not instituted under the Excise Act. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature, as would manifest from Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application. It is also submitted that even petitioners have suffered injuries.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khirhar P.S. Case No. 105 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

