

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4045 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14075 of 2024

-
-
1. Harendra Pandey S/o- Rajdev Pandey Resident of Village Basila Khas, Gopalganj, P.S. and District- Gopalganj.
 2. Harinandan Sharma S/o Ram Raj Sharma Resident of Village Rampur, Deoria, P.S.- Balkta District, Uttar pradesh.
 3. Pawan Kumar Singh S/o- Ragho Singh Resident of Village- Dhawaiya, Ward- Devmarg, Mathiar Mahal, Parasi, Deoria, District- Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer-5, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Circle, Siwan, District- Siwan.
6. The Executive Engineer, Works Division, Gopalganj-1, District- Gopalganj.
7. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Siwan-2, District- Siwan.
8. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Gopalganj-I, District- Gopalganj.
9. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Hathua, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Standing Counsel, 18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

5 18-04-2025 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the

petitioners; however, learned counsel for the State is present.

2. On 04.04.2025, the following order has been



passed :-

“Upon repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of the petitioners. Learned counsel for the State is present.

2. As a matter of last indulgence, this case is adjourned for one week.

3. Put up this case on 18.04.2025. If, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner on the said date then appropriate order shall be passed.”

4. Today, despite repeated calls, there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioners. It appears to this Court that the petitioners are no longer interested in pursuing the matter.

5. As such, the present writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

