

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.26 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-478 Year-2023 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District- Saharsa

Vibha Devi @ Triphul Devi Wife Of P. B. Yadav Resident Of Sakin - Tiri,
Ward No.4, P.S. - Saur Bazar, District - Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Director General Of Police, Bihar Patna Bihar
2. The Director General Of Police, Bihar Patna Bihar
3. The District Magistrate, Saharsa Bihar
4. The Deputy Inspector General, Koshi Range, Saharsa Bihar
5. The Senior Superintendent Of Police (Ssp), Saharsa Bihar
6. The Superintendent Of Police (Sp), Saharsa Bihar
7. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police (Dy. Sp), Saharsa Bihar
8. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer (Sdpo), Saharsa Bihar
9. The Station House Officer (Sho), Saur Bazar, Saharsa Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Samir Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. GP-23
		Mr. Sanjay Kumar AC to GP 23

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel for the State.

2. The writ petition has been filed for the following
reliefs:

*(1) For issuance of writ in the nature
of mandamus to direct, in the peculiar facts and
circumstances of the case, the Superintendent of
Police, Saharsa to complete the investigation of
the F.I.R. being Saur Bazar P.S. case No. 478/
2023 registered u/s 341,342,323,307,504,506/34
Indian Penal Code, under the circumstances*



that the accused are high profile and influential persons and as such it was not surprising that the investigation in the said case was carried out with an intent to protect them rather than to investigate the offence reported and carry the same to its logical end;

(ii) To hold and declare that the Police authorities till now have conducted biased, partial, incomplete and suborn investigation in order to prepare and arrange escape route to the accused persons.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and this writ petition has become infructuous.

4. In that view of the matter, the application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

