

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77730 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-272 Year-2025 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

Kunal Kumar S/O Vijay Singh @ Vijay Kumar R/O Village- Khanwa, P.S.-
Narhat, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Shankar Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP

for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of

the informant.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 331(4) and 305(a) of
B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean
antecedent and has been falsely implicated in the instant case by
the informant with an allegation that on the night of occurrence,
informant was sleeping in his house when he heard a noise from
another room and he woke up when an accused started fleeing
and on alarm, the accused was apprehended by neighbour and



from his possession, one *Payal*, one ring and Rs.5300/- in cash was recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner and the daughter of the informant were known to each other and the daughter of the informant on the night of the occurrence had called the petitioner to meet her. Accordingly, the petitioner had gone to meet the daughter of the informant on a sly, when informant came to know about the presence of the petitioner in his house and thereafter the informant caught him and assaulted him brutally. It is submitted that petitioner and the informant are co-villagers and are known to each other but then in the FIR, an impression has been given as if the informant was not knowing the petitioner. It is reiterated and submitted that since petitioner had gone to meet the daughter of the informant, as such, he was brutally assaulted. It is also submitted that even a case from the side of the petitioner being Narhat P.S. Case No.273 of 2025 has been instituted. It is further submitted that had the petitioner committed theft in the house of the informant, in that event, the informant would have handed him over to the police as it is alleged that petitioner was caught but then same



was not done.

5. The learned APP and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant oppose the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, let petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Narhat P.S. Case No.272 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

