

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77883 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2024 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhojpur

Narendra Singh @ Fantus @ Fantoosh son of Nirmal singh @ Nirmal Mahto
Resident of Village- Pakariyawar P.S -Udwant Nagar O.P Gajraj Ganj
District- Bhojpur Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Kumar Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant.

02. This is the second attempt of the petitioner to seek bail from this Court in connection with Session Trial No. 389 of 2025 arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 291 of 2024, registered for the alleged offences under Sections 103, 238, 61(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, his prayer for bail was earlier rejected vide order dated order dated 22.03.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. No. 74 of 2025.

03. As per the prosecution case, petitioner and other co-accused persons came to the house of the informant and took away his nephew with them. Next day, the dead body of the nephew of the informant was recovered and the informant showed his suspicion that the above named petitioner along with



other co-accused persons murdered his nephew.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27.08.2024 and the charges have been framed against him. The petitioner would not interfere with the trial in any manner and there is no substantive material against him. The petitioner has got no criminal history.

05. Learned APP as well learned counsel for the informant vehemently oppose the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that there is complete involvement of the petitioner and he was the person who took away the deceased. Thereafter, the dead body of the deceased was recovered. Learned counsel further submits that the trial has started in this case.

06. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties, I do not think any fresh ground has been brought forward to consider the prayer for bail of the petitioner as his prayer for bail was earlier rejected vide order dated order dated 22.03.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. No. 74 of 2025. Therefore, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, his prayer for bail is **rejected**.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

