

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77482 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-352 Year-2025 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

1. Sanyog Kumar @ Sanyog Kumar Singh S/O Sadhu Singh @ Sadhu Sharan Singh R/O Village- Badurahi, P.S.- Sonepur, District- Saran at Chapra
2. Uma Shankar Singh @ Uma Singh S/O late Vashistha Singh @ Vashistha Narayan Singh R/O Village- Badurahi, P.S.- Sonepur, District- Saran at Chapra
3. Sunny Kumar S/O Sadhu Singh @ Sadhu Sharan Singh R/O Village- Badurahi, P.S.- Sonepur, District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-12-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 303(2), 109, 118(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, on the alleged date and time of occurrence, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, forcibly entered into house of informant and started misbehaving with daughter of informant. Upon protest, all the accused persons abused and assaulted



informant and his family members.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. Injuries, allegedly caused by Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2, are simple in nature. Rest of the allegations are ornamental in order to make the case grave. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and there is specific accusation that they, along with other accused persons, assaulted informant and his family members. Doctor has found the injuries caused by Petitioner No. 3 as grievous in nature.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and nature of injuries sustained by the injured, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to Petitioner No. 3 is rejected.

7. So far as Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are concerned, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and



omnibus nature of accusation, nature of injuries sustained by the injured and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 is allowed.

8. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case No. 352 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

9. With the aforesaid directions, this application stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

