

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80932 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-392 Year-2025 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Bikram Kumar S/o Dinesh Kumar R/o Village- Imadpur, P.S- Biharsharif,
Dist- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Khurshid Anwar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 392 of 2025 dated 24.06.2025 registered for the offence/s punishable under Sections 303(2), 310(2) and 317(2) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, unknown miscreant is alleged to have stolen the truck of the informant which was parked in front of Yamaha Showroom, Gandhi Nagar, Ward No.33 and said that, all original documents of truck were kept in the said truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in this case on mere suspicion. No T.I.P. has been conducted by the prosecution. It is further submitted that in para-40 of the case diary, the confessional statement of the co-accused Kundan Kumar @ Raj Kumar Raju has been recorded, on the basis of which the stolen truck was recovered from the garage of Sanjay Body Builder, Golpur Road. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 22.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 62889 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad Town



P.S. Case No. 392 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

