

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81320 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-24 Year-2024 Thana- DELHA District- Gaya

Ranjan Rabbani @ Ranjan Rawani S/O Ranjeet Prasad R/O Village-
Pahsi,P.S- Kotwali, Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 03-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Delha
P.S. Case No. 24 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 447, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, On 20.12.2023, the
husband of the Informant was beaten by the 8-10 persons. Again
on 27.01.2024, the petitioner came to her house and threatened
the Informant's husband for dire consequences. Thereafter, again
on 28.01.2024, the petitioner along with 15-20 unknown
persons came to the house of the Informant, threatened her
husband and also made firing.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case with false and frivolous allegations. The petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that there is delay of about 40 days in instituting the F.I.R. that too without there being any plausible explanation for such delay. In the alleged occurrence, no one sustained any injury. During investigation, the I.O. has not found any sign of firing at the place of occurrence, which falsifies the prosecution case. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents and is languishing in judicial custody since 22.03.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature. The I.O. after completion of investigation has submitted charge-sheet under Sections 447, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and, hence, he does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as



also the period of custody of the petitioner and taking into account that no one sustained injury in the alleged occurrence, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Delha P.S. Case No. 24 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

