

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83037 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2024 Thana- BARGAINIA District- Sitamarhi

Raushan Kumar S/O Ramprit Das Resident of Village- Joriyahi, Ward No. 4,
P.S.- Baiganiya, Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B), 201/34 of the I.P.C.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that, the daughter of the informant, namely, Gudia Kumari (deceased) was married to the petitioner. It is further alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand of Rs. 2,00,000/- and a motorcycle. It is further alleged that she was killed by her in-laws.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that from perusal of Annexure- P/2 it is



evident that the deceased died in Mumbai. It has further submitted that the deceased was ill and she was being treated for years by the petitioner. From perusal of the post-mortem report it is evident that the doctor has opined the cause of death due to ***septicemia following injuries to cervical spine due to blunt trauma.*** It is further submitted that the deceased was carried a gunny bag on her head and due to which she got the injuries which is mentioned in the post-mortem report. It is further submitted that the nature of injury is not disclosed it means it has not been caused by any object. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also annexed the document showing the treatment of the deceased. It has also submitted that the petitioner has made every endeavour to save his wife but due to medical reasons she died. Moreover, petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 20.02.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Bairganiya P.S. Case No. 42 of 2024 on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.-1st Class,
Sitamarhi/concerned trial Court.

7. Accordingly, the application stands allowed.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

