

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77681 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

Umesh Singh @ Umesh Kumar S/o Ramashish Singh Resident of Village-
Chiraiyan, P.S.- Warisaliganj, District- Nawadah

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agarwal, Sr. Adv

Mr.Ram Prawesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renuka Ratnakar (App 125)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-12-2025 1. Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner, Sri
N.K. Agarwal and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
329(3), 115(2), 74, 76, 110, 118(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is alleged to
have assaulted the son of the informant by *gadasa* causing
injury, further other accused persons also assaulted other family
members of the informant and they also acted inappropriately
with the informant.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits



that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that discussion regarding partition was going on when it is alleged that the accused persons came and the occurrence took place. It is further submitted that from the side of the petitioner also Warisaliganj PS case no. 307 of 2025 has been instituted against the informant and his side. It is next submitted that both sides have suffered injuries. It is further submitted that though it is alleged that the petitioner assaulted Bittu by *gadasa* causing injury, but then the FIR does not disclose the part of the body on which the injury was inflicted, though the doctor has opined that the injury suffered by Bittu on the leg is grievous, but then that is not a vital part of the body. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not a criminal and on account of dispute relating to property, an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 308 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

