

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18517 of 2025

Prince Kumar Ojha Son of Prem Sagar Ojha, Resident of Village and P.O-
Nimez, P.S Brahmpur, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Special Secretary, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Additional Director General, Vigilance Special Vigilance Unit, Vigilance Department, Patna.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Special Vigilance Unit, Vigilance Department, Patna.
6. Arvind Kumar, Son of Late Mahavir Choudhary, Working as an Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department Office, Govt. of Bihar, 6th Floor, Vishweshwraiya Bhawan, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Navendu Prakash, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. P.K.Shahi, AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 27-11-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Following reliefs are sought for in the present writ
application:

- “a. For directing an inquiry in the instant case by the Vigilance for proper investigation into the disproportionate asset acquired by the private respondent no 6, during his long tenure as a Junior Engineer under the Rural Works department, govt of Bihar.
- b. For directing the respondents to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the private respondent no 6,



together with initiating criminal proceedings under section 13 (1) (e) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 1988, for possession of pecuniary resources and property disproportionate to his known sources of income.

c. For directing the respondents not to entrust any administrative and financial duties to the private respondent no 6, pending the final disposal of the writ petition.

d. For issuance of any other appropriate writ/s, order/s or direction/s as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and necessary in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. From perusal of the reliefs sought, it appears that the matter pertains to a personal dispute and, therefore, no order can be passed in public interest litigation.

4. The petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to approach the competent authority, if so advised.

5. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

