

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85522 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2024 Thana- BANGAWON District- Saharsa

Santosh Kumar Suman @ Santosh Gupta @ Kanchan @ Kanchha @
Kanbalia, S/o- Late Bhola Gupta @ Late Bhola Prasad Gupta, Resident of
Village- Bariyahi Bazar, P.S.-Bangaon, Dist-Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, I/C APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dinkar Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-03-2025 Heard Mr. Amarnath Jha, learned counsel for the
petitioner, Mr. Dinkar Kumar, learned counsel for the informant
and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Bangaon P.S. Case No.106 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 54, 351(3) of
B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the father of the
informant was getting saved at a saloon. At 3.35 P.M., three
miscreants fired at him. He was rushed to Ayush Arnav Nursing
Home of Dr. Ajay Singh where he was declared dead. The
informant suspects that the petitioner along with others have



killed his father in a conspiracy.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He is languishing in judicial custody since 22.08.2024.

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant and submitted that during course of investigation, one Ashish Anand has given his confessional statement and in his confessional statement, he has named Bal Kishan. Bal Kishan has also given his confessional statement. In confessional statement of these two persons, it has come that the petitioner has arranged the main assailant for removing the father of the informant as he wanted to get executed a sale deed of a land and the deceased was the main obstacle. Petitioner is also having criminal antecedent of nine cases. During supervision, it has also come that petitioner has given 10 lakhs to the killers for removing the deceased.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.



7. Petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for
bail after six months, if the trial is not concluded.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

