

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83364 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2024 Thana- SIDHWALIYA District- Gopalganj

Ravi Kumar Son of Shivratan Mahato R/O-Village- Supauli, PS - Sidhwaliya,
Distt.- Gopalganj, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Sidhwaliya P.S. Case No. 139 of 2024 instituted for the offence under Sections 304-B, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the informant was married to the petitioner in the year 2023. It has also been alleged that there was demand of dowry and that due to non-fulfillment of that demand, the daughter of the informant, namely, Nippu Kumari was killed.

4. During course of the hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to the notice of this Court that a petition given by the informant before the trial court wherein



she has stated that the deceased was suffering from mental illness and that the informant has only put a signature on a plain paper which was later on converted into an FIR. Learned counsel further submitted that other co-accused persons have been granted bail.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner. From perusal of the case diary, specifically the post mortem report it is clear that the death was due to hanging and the Doctor has found half inch broad ligature mark over the upper part of neck above thyroid. The case is under investigation and from perusal of the diary it is clear that death was not normal.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, since the petitioner is the husband this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the present bail application stands rejected.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

