

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77382 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-342 Year-2024 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Karan Sahani @ Karan Kumar Son of Late Umesh Sahani R/o Vill. -
Malahtoli(Tehta), P.S. - Makhdumpur(Tehta), Dist. - Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Chandni Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 12-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 342 of 2024, instituted for the
offences punishable under Section 309(4) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, three
unknown miscreants intercepted the informant and on the point
of pistol snatched motorcycle and other valuable articles from
him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No



incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Chandan Sarkar and Suraj Kumar which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. No T.I. parade has been conducted in this case to ascertain the participation of the petitioner in the alleged offence. The petitioner is in custody since 03.07.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 23.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 86873 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 342 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:



(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the Trial Court will have the liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(IV) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

