

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76586 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2025 Thana- WARISNAGAR District- Samastipur

Arjun Kumar S/o Ram Sevak Ram R/o Village- Sajanpur, Ward no 3, PO -
Warisnagar, PS- Warisnagar, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sonam Kumari D/o Jagdish Ram R/o vill - Sajanpur, ward no. 4, P.O. and
P.s- Warisnagar, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 3(5) of
the B.N.S., 2023 & Sections ¾ D.P. Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that she was in love with the petitioner since 2018 while
she was a minor and the petitioner on false promise of marriage
established physical relation, further the petitioner about two
months back took her to Gujrat and established physical relation
and thereafter brought her back about 10 days back, further the



petitioner assured that he will marry her after making his parents understand, it is next alleged that the parents of the petitioner demanded Rs. 2 lacs by way of dowry and when she showed her inability, she was ousted from the house, thus alleges that petitioner exploited her physically on false pretext of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that she was in love with the petitioner since 2018 and the instant FIR came to be instituted in the year 2025 i.e. after seven years of relationship. It is also submitted that informant in order to give seriousness to the case, in the FIR alleges that she was a minor, when relationship started. It is submitted that relationship was in between two consenting adults and when the relationship soured, the present false case came to be instituted. It is next submitted that petitioner never promised that he will marry the informant. It is also submitted that it does not appear probable that the informant in seven years of relationship would not have felt that petitioner is cheating right from the beginning. It is reiterated and submitted that from the beginning only petitioner never promised the



informant that he will marry her. It is also submitted that petitioner is not a criminal and he will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Warisnagar P.S. Case No.133/2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

