

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83926 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2024 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Patel Son of Moti Lal Patel Resident of Village -
Sain Ram Ray, P.S. - Kanti, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 24-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kanti
P.S. Case No. 121 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 397, 398 of the Indian Penal Code and Section
27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, five
unknown miscreants entered into the bank and fired upon the
leg of the guard, whereafter they fled away with rifle and
cartridges from the guard.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from



the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused namely, Ranjeet Patel and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that no T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. No looted articles have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2024 and has got four criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in two cases. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 68976 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner and claim based on parity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail ***after framing of charge, if not already framed*** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 121 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

