

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78368 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2025 Thana- LODIPUR District- Bhagalpur

1. Tulsi Ravidas @ Tulsi Das Son of Late Naresh Ravidas R/p Village - Mohamdabas, P.S. - Lodipur and District - Bhagalpur.
2. Munshi Ravidas @ Munshi Kumar Son of Late Naresh Ravidas @ Naresh Das R/p Village - Mohamdabas, P.S. - Lodipur and District - Bhagalpur.
3. Chhottan Ravidas @ Chhotu Ravidas Son of Late Naresh Ravidas R/p Village - Mohamdabas, P.S. - Lodipur and District - Bhagalpur.
4. Kali Ravidas Son of Late Naresh Ravidas R/p Village - Mohamdabas, P.S. - Lodipur and District - Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      03-12-2025                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Lodhipur P.S. Case No. 112 of 2025, F.I.R dated 27.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, on 17.05.2025 at about 7:00 am. Kali Ravidas started abusing by saying that why did you make the child use the toilet on the road, in the



meanwhile, all FIR named accused persons handed with lathi, danda, iron rod, Aweapon and sword came and cut the right ear of her son namely Vinay Ravidas. Thereafter, Chhotan Ravidas, Md. Rehman @ Manoj Ravidas assaulted on the face of informant by means of bricks by which she sustained injury and became unconscious and when Rajesh Ravidas and Lutan Devi came to rescue her then they have also assaulted them by means of lathi, danda and rod and Kali Ravidas has snatched Rs. 3000/- and silver chain from her neck and threatened for dire consequence if she lodged any case. Hence, this FIR is lodged.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against all the accused persons are general and omnibus in nature, while the injury report suggest that the informant has sustained injuries though it is simple in nature. Counsel for the petitioners while referring to the order impugned submits that the only ground based on which all the other accused persons have been granted bail that they do not have any criminal antecedent and the prayer of bail of these petitioners is rejected only on the ground that they have a criminal antecedent. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the antecedent which have been referred in para 3 of the anticipatory bail application in one P.S. Case, all the petitioners have been implicated for ulterior reasons, while they



are on bail in the said case.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact that there is nothing specific against these petitioners and the injuries which have said to have been caused is simple in nature. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M, Bhagalpur in connection with Lodhipur P.S. Case No. 112 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date



before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

**(Ajit Kumar, J)**

Siddharth Soni/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

