

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78369 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Araria

1. Mukesh Jha Son of Late Ramesh Jha Resident of Village- Harmurhi, Ward No. 13, Police Station- Banmankhi, District- Purnea
2. Abhishek Kumar @ Abhishek Jha son of Awadhesh Kumar Jha Resident of Village- Harmurhi, Ward No. 13, Police Station- Banmankhi, District- Purnea
3. Anjesh Kumar @ Anjesh Kumar Jha @ Anjesh Jha Son of Sudhir Jha @ Mithilesh Jha Resident of Village- Harmurhi, Ward No. 13, Police Station- Banmankhi, District- Purnea
4. Manjesh Kumar Jha @ Manjesh Jha Son of Sudhir Jha @ Mithilesh Jha Resident of Village- Harmurhi, Ward No. 13, Police Station- Banmankhi, District- Purnea
5. Shila Devi Wife of Awadhesh Jha Resident of Village- Harmurhi, Ward No. 13, Police Station- Banmankhi, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umanath Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 32 of 2025 dated 13.07.2025 registered for the offence/s punishable u/ss 126(2), 85, 115(2), 89, 82(1) read with section 3(5) of the BNS and section 4 of the DP Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and the



co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the informant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner no. 1 is the cousin uncle, the petitioner no. 2, 3 and 4 are cousin brothers and the petitioner no. 5 is the cousin aunt of the informant. The petitioners neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned counsel for the informant as well as learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned,



Araria in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 32 of 2025,
subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the
BNSS.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

