

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2345 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Purnia

Nisha Sinha Wife of Ajit Kumar Sinha, D/O Udit Narayan Sinha R/O Purnea
Court Station, Ward no. 12, P.S.- K. Hat, Dist.- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar Through D.G.P.-cum- I.G. of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna Bihar
- 2. Superintendent of Police Purnea
- 3. Superintendent of Police Begusarai
- 4. Dy. S.P. Purnea Bihar
- 5. Station House Officer, K. Hat Police Station, Purnea Bihar
- 6. Ajit Kumar Sinha Son of Ashok Kumar Sinha Resident of Neelam Niketan. Rajendra Nagar, Behind Panch Dev Mandir. Station Road Barauni, P.S.- Barauni, Distt.- Begusarai. At present residing at Flat No. 186 1 (st) Floor, Pocket A-1, Sharthi Apartment, Gurudwara Road, Village- Shrispur, Samaypur Baadli, New Delhi- 110042
- 7. Vyan Sinha Son of Ajit Kumar Sinha and Nisha Sinha At present residing at Flat No. 186 1 (st) Floor. Pocket A - 1 Sharthi Apartment, Gurudwara Road. Village Shrispur, Samaypur Baadli, New Delhi 110042 Under Natural Guardianship of Ajit Kumar Sinha (Respondent No. 6)

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Amish Kumar, AC to AG
For Res. No.6 & 7 : Mr. Satish Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Dinesh Maharaj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI)

9 09-05-2025 Heard Mr. Deepak Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Amish Kumar, learned AC to AG for the State

and Mr. Satish Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on

behalf of Respondent Nos.6 & 7.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition in view of the development which has taken place after filing of the present petition.

3. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

4. The petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

5. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceeding before the appropriate forum with regard to the custody of the child.

6. It is further clarified that this Court has not examined the case of the petitioner on merits.

(Vipul M. Pancholi, J.)

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

