

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82399 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- HALSI District- Lakhisarai

Bambam Singh @ Bambam Kumar Singh S/o Kameshwar Singh Resident of
At Bardokhar, PS- Halsi, Distt.- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Ranjan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anish Chandra, APP
For the Informant : Mr. P.P.N. Sahi, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Tiwary, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA
ORAL ORDER**

3 18-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State as also learned counsel for the Informant.

Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Halsi
P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 341, 323, 307, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
petitioner is of firing upon the Informant's husband upon his
head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the



present case with false and frivolous allegations as also due to village politics. The petitioner and the Informant are close relative. There is a land dispute between the parties. He further submits that the report regarding nature and injury is to be obtained from the District Hospital, Lakhisarai. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 27.07.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature. There is specific allegation of firing against the petitioner. The injury report supports the prosecution case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and, hence, he does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioner as also taking into account the nature of injury, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be



released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Halsi P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 .

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

