

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76415 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

1. Sandip Kumar S/O Sudama Tanti R/O Vill.-Tanti Mir Bigha, P.S. - Warisaliganj, Dist.- Nawada
2. Nande Kumar @ Nandlal Tanti S/O Manoj Tanti R/O Vill.-Tanti Mir Bigha, P.S. - Warisaliganj, Dist.- Nawada
3. Deepak Kumar S/O Ramchandra Tanti R/O Vill.-Tanti Mir Bigha, P.S. - Warisaliganj, Dist.- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-11-2025                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner are apprehending their arrest in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, F.I.R dated 21.04.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(B), 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. According to prosecution case, on 21.04.2025, the A.S.I. of Warsaliganj P.S. received information that cyber criminals were operating near a palm tree in Tanti Mirbigaha.



During a raid, four persons — Vinay Kumar, Itesh Kumar, Rohit Kumar, and Jaldhar Kumar — were caught, and several mobile phones, a diary, and A4 sheets with names and transaction details were seized. From Itesh Kumar's phone, WhatsApp messages and a Dhani Finance loan approval letter were found without any satisfactory explanation. While returning, the police received further information and conducted a second raid near Malichak, arresting Triveni Kumar and Jaipal Kumar, who tried to flee. More A4 sheets containing transaction IDs, UPI details, names, phone numbers, and email IDs were recovered. All six accused were arrested for allegedly running a cyber-fraud racket offering fake online loans.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the name of the petitioners has transpired in this case on the basis of statement made by apprehended co-accused and no incriminating articles have been found on search being made by the Police on their absence and they are in no way connected with the seized articles. It has next been submitted that the co-accused person has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 23.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.58969 of 2025. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the name of the petitioners has transpired in this case on the basis of statement made by apprehended co-accused and no incriminating articles have been found on search being made by the Police and the petitioners are in no way connected with the seized articles. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-IV, Nawada, in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every



date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

**(Ajit Kumar, J)**

sharun/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

