

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76853 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-325 Year-2025 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Amit Kumar Son of Virendra Mistri R/o Village- Jogachak, P.S.- Kawakol,
District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the
Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of four cases. It is submitted that some
accused were apprehended along with arms who disclosed that
other accused persons were coming with liquor, accordingly, the
police based on the said information intercepted four
motorcycles along with a Baleno car from which 250 liters of
liquor was recovered and 5 accused were arrested.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the



owner of any of the seized vehicle. It is also submitted that no doubt pistol was recovered but then that was recovered from a Baleno car from which separate accused were arrested and a separate FIR with respect to the said occurrence was instituted. It is next submitted that the instant FIR has been instituted only under Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita and the excise act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that based on information provided by accused who were apprehended in arms act case, the liquor was recovered and some accused were arrested while petitioner fled whose name came to be disclosed by the apprehended accused. It is next submitted that it appears that the accused persons are indulging in organized crime of committing liquor trade, arms trade etc.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

