

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19325 of 2025

=====

Sudha Rai Wife of Shree Shivaji Prasad Rai, resident of Village-Purkhauli, P.S.-Lalganj, District-Vaishali. As also (As per Aadhar Card-Haider Khan Road, Kacharigaon, Tezpur, P.S.-Sonitpur, Arsam, PIN-784001).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Land Revenue, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
3. The S.D.O., Vaishali at Hajipur.
4. The Circle Officer, Lalganj, Hajipur.
5. The District Panchayat Officer, Vaishali, Hajipur.
6. The Block B.D.O., Vaishali at Hajipur.
7. Mohan Rai, Son of Dhaneshwar Rai, resident of Village-Purkhauli, P.S.-Lalganj, District-Vaishali.
8. Satrudhan Rai, son of Dhaneshwar Rai, resident of Village-Purkhauli, P.S.-Lalganj, District-Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prakash Chandra Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (02)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“(i) to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to protect the legal Khatiyani Land property and normal life of the petitioner and her family which is being disturbed by the private respondents No.7 and 8 of this application due to agitating unwanted/illegal land dispute.



(ii) to hold and declare that the State Authority is legally obliged to protect the land property of Khatiyani Raiyat like petitioner in terms of constitutional mandate as envisaged in Article 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India.

(iii) to direct the State Authority to impose heavy fine upon the private respondents hereof who are disturbing these petitioner physically and mentally and further these petitioner may be compensated for sustaining insult and defamation caused by illegal act of respondents.

(iv) to grant any other reliefs for which petitioner is entitled to have in connection with aforesaid grievance.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she shall be approaching the appropriate authority/court for the redressal of her grievance.

4. The State has no objection.

5. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

