

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76196 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- KARPURIGRAM District- Samastipur

1. Sri Fulbabu @ Ful Kumar Son of Mantun Rai @ Manatun Ray Resident of Village - Madhopur, Ward No.- 9, Police Station - Karpurigram, District - Samastipur.
2. Sri Suraj Kumar Son of Late Ramlal Rai @ Late Ramnath Rai Resident of Village - Madhopur, Ward No.- 9, Police Station - Karpurigram, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have antecedent of three cases and allegation is of recovery of 30 liters of liquor from a bamboo orchard.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the



petitioners and is accessible to public at large and they came to be implicated at the instance of *Chowkidar* with whom they are on an inimical term. It is further submitted that if *Chowkidar* was aware of the involvement of the petitioners in the occurrence then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Karpurigram P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that any of the petitioners have



antecedent of more than three cases then it would be presumed that petitioners, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed their antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of three cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

