

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76665 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

Mahendra Yadav @ Mahendra Singh S/o Dinanath Yadav Resident of Village-
Rajapur, P.S.- Piro, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 17-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190(2), 115, 109,
351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges
that on 31.07.2025 his brother saw the named accused persons
including the petitioner cutting the ridge of his field and on protest all
the five named accused started assaulting him. On alarm, informant
along with Satyendra reached the place of occurrence when petitioner
brought farsa from his house and assaulted him and his brother by
lathi and farsa causing injury on head of all the three while Saroj
threatened with gun.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is further submitted that from the side of the petitioner also Piro P.S. Case No. 237 of 2025 has been instituted against the informant and his side. It is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to cutting of ridge an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that the date of occurrence is 31.07.2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 02.08.2025 i.e. after a delay of two days without any plausible explanation. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is not a criminal and will not abscond and will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Piro P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

