

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76240 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2025 Thana- PARWALPUR District- Nalanda

Anshu Kumar S/o Anil Prasad Resident of village- Ijanapar, P.S.- Ekagar
Sarai, Dist.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 27-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Parwalpur P.S. Case No. 117 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Section 309(4) of the BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that while he was returning home, he was intercepted by
two unknown accused who looted his motorcycle and mobile.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR
was against unknown and the petitioner came to be implicated in
the case during the course of investigation. It is further submitted
that motorcycle of the petitioner was forcefully taken by the
police to the police station and thereafter at Para-66 in the case
diary, it was recorded that motorcycle of the petitioner was used
in commission of loot. It is further submitted that petitioner is a



student of BA Part-III and if he is sent to judicial custody, his entire career would get jeopardized.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that though FIR was against unknown, but then police during the course of investigation arrested Amit, on whose confession Sunny was arrested and from his possession mobile was recovered. It is further submitted that the apprehended accused also took the name of the petitioner of being involved in the occurrence. It is next submitted that though it has been pleaded that petitioner is a student but then no documentary evidence in support of the same has been annexed to substantiate the same. It is also submitted that investigation of the case is in its nascent stages and allegation is of road robbery.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

