

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81747 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-164 Year-2024 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

=====

Pintu Kumar, Son of Sudama Rai, R/o village-Rustampur, P.S.-Garkha, Dist.  
-Saran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No.1, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

00    22-01-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner  
and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the  
State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in  
connection with Amnour P.S. Case No.164 of 2024  
registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 395 and 412 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The accused/petitioner is not named in  
the FIR and is in custody since 31.08.2024.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is  
to commit dacoity in Central Bank of India, Apher  
Branch along with other co-accused persons and  
while committing so, looted cash of Rs.8,94,988/-.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel that



name of petitioner transpired during the course of investigation on the basis of self-confession and also on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Janu Kumar. It is pointed out that petitioner was not put on T.I.P. as yet.

6. It is submitted by learned counsel that alleged recovery of Rs.70,400/- from the house of petitioner is not sufficient to connect the petitioner *prima facie* with present occurrence of dacoity in want of details and denominations of currency notes. It is submitted that aforesaid amount was claimed by petitioner. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence and moreover the petitioner found involved in one more criminal case, where he is on bail.

7. Learned APP opposes the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

8. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances, as recovered currency notes not



appears to connect petitioner *prima facie* with present occurrence of dacoity in want of details and denominations of currency notes, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner is in custody since 31.08.2024, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IX, Saran at Chapra in connection with Amnour P.S. Case No.164 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the CrPC/under Section 480(3) of the BNSS.

**(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)**

Sanjeet/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

