

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81298 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2024 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Manish Manjhi, Son of Kashi Manjhi, Resident of Village - Bisunpur, P.S. -
Kawakole, District – Nawada Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 28-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in connection with Kawakole P.S. Case No.189 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 363 and 365 of the Indian Penal Code and further added Sections 302 and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the husband of the informant has gone to market with the petitioner. When the husband of the informant did not return for a considerable time, the informant enquired about him. Manish Manjhi (petitioner) has told that he had the husband of the informant at Kawakole. The informant suspects at the petitioner that the petitioner has killed her husband.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted during course of investigation the petitioner has



given his confessional statement. Learned counsel further submits that except the confessional statement there is nothing against the petitioner. The petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 19.06.2024.

5. The learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail. He submits that in his confessional statement the petitioner has confessed his guilt and has further stated that the dead body of the deceased can be recovered from Kolwa forest in Gandhidham beneath a tree and on his instance the dead body was recovered.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and the fact that para-21 of the case diary goes to show that on the statement of the petitioner the dead body was recovered. So the confessional statement is leading to recovery which has got relevance. Considering above facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage, however, the petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months, if the trial is not concluded.

7. Accordingly, the present bail application stands rejected.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

