

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88646 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-126 Year-2023 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

Murari Bind @ Murari Kumar S/O Late Girdhari Bind R/O Village - Sherpura
Panchadevta, P.S - Tekari, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

4 21-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Tekari P.S. Case No. 126 of 2023 dated 24.02.2023
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302,
201, 341, 323, 504, 506 read with Section 34 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 09.11.2022 in
the evening, when the informant was at her maternal house
then she received a call from her Sasural that her husband's
brother Suraj Bind and her cousin father-in-law Virendra
Bind, Ashok Bind, Raju Bind, Murari Bind (petitioner) and
the cousin brother-in-law Pappu Bind have altogether killed



her husband, Kundan Bind, due to land dispute. On getting information, the informant alongwith family members went to her Sasural where after seeing the informant, all the accused persons started abusing her and Pappu and Suraj ordered the other accused persons to kill the informant and her two sons like her husband, Kundan Bind. Thereafter, the accused persons snatched her two sons from the informant and started assaulting the informant. When her mother Lalsa Devi, Mamani Yashoda Devi, Sarita Devi and Mausir Kiran Devi came to save the informant they were also assaulted by the accused persons. Anyhow, they saved their lives and fled away from there and due to injuries received by the accused persons they were admitted to Magadh Medical Hospital for their treatment. It is further alleged that the husband of the informant was searched by the family members of the informant but he could not be traced out. The accused persons have killed her husband and thereafter his dead body was cremated or concealed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is submitted that there is an



admitted land dispute between the parties. There is more than 100 days of delay in lodging the FIR. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. There is no eye witness to the alleged offence. It is further submitted that the real fact is that the husband of the informant has himself committed suicide as there was matrimonial dispute between the informant and the deceased due to which the deceased used to live in mental tension and no body has killed him. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition. He is in custody in this case since 21.07.2024. Similarly situated co-accused has already been granted bail by this court vide order dated 16.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 76608 of 2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty



Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-VIth, Gaya in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 126 of 2023.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

