

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76417 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2025 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West Champaran

Md. Dilnawaz @ Dilnawaz S/O Sheikh Lalbabu @ Lalbabu R/O Village -
Mansa Tola, P.S - Bettiah (Muffasil), District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Renu Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-11-2025 Heard Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mrs. Renu Kumari, learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Bettiah (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025 registered for
the offence punishable under Section 69 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the
informant and the petitioner were on talking terms, and after
that, they got physical. It is further alleged that from 31.05.2025
to 03.06.2025, the petitioner established physical relationship
with the informant, and after that, he blocked her. Further
allegation is that when the informant went to complain, she was
being abused. When she tried to go to P.S., the *mukhiya* assured
her that he would get the matter settled. The petitioner did not



accept the terms of the settlement as made by the *mukhiya*. The case was filed after *Bakrid*.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the relationship started between the parties was not forceful, and the last physical relationship was on 03.06.2025, but the FIR has been lodged on 11.06.2025, and the only explanation is that after the celebration of *Bakrid*, the case will be filed. It simply means that the informant herself was not considering the act as an offense. He further submits that *Annexure-2* will go to show that earlier also this informant had made a settlement with a boy for Rs. 1,70,000/- and her wish was also to bring down the petitioner to her terms. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 27.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is



inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Bettiah (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

