

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76370 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-413 Year-2024 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

Pankaj Kumar Singh @ Pankaj Singh, S/o Sheojee Singh @ Shiv Mahto,
Resident of village - Hematpur Dighwara, P.S.- Dighwara, Distt.- saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Mili Kumari, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-11-2025 Heard Ms. Mili Kumari, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, learned APP for the

State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Mirganj P.S. Case No. 413 of 2024 dated 13.09.2024 registered
for the offences punishable under sections 303(2) and 318(4) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The main submissions advanced by petitioner's
counsel are that this is the second attempt of the petitioner to get
the relief of bail and while rejecting his first prayer for the same
relief, this Court has given him a liberty to renew his bail prayer
after examination of the informant in his trial and mainly in the
light of the said liberty and his long custody period, he has
renewed his prayer. Though during the trial, the informant



claimed to be able to identify the petitioner after seeing him in the dock but before producing the informant as a prosecution witness, the petitioner had been shown to the informant by the police and in this regard, the informant's statement made in the paragraph Nos. 31 and 65 of the cross-examination may be perused. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 17.10.2024, though against him there are criminal antecedents of five case but he is on bail in all the said cases and further, the petitioner's release on bail will not affect the prosecution adversely as the material witnesses have already been examined in the trial of the petitioner and most of the prosecution witnesses, who are to be examined, are Government officials.

4. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer of the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions and mainly the petitioner's custody period and also the fact that the material witnesses of the prosecution have already been examined as stated above, in my opinion, the petitioner now deserves to the relief of bail. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/-(Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with Mirganj
P.S. Case No. 413 of 2024.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

