

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75934 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-269 Year-2020 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

1. Kittu @ Garv Gaurav S/o Manoj Pandit @ Manoj Kumar R/o Village - Kumhar Toli, P.S - Muffasil, District - Gaya
2. Gorelal @ Vishwa Ranjan Raj S/o Dhani Ram R/o Village - Kumhar Toli, P.S - Muffasil, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kunwar Narayan Jamuar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341,323,324,307,379,504,506,34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 37(C)(2) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 20.07.2020 at 6.30 P.M., his brother was coming back home from Manpur, when all the accused persons including the petitioners caught him and assaulted by iron rod, sharp weapon causing injury and Santosh in a drunken



condition snatched his mobile and Rs.7,000/-.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioners rather allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that allegation of snatching mobile and Rs.7,000/- is against Santosh. It is further submitted that petitioners are young boys and they are sent to judicial custody in the nature of allegation as alleged, their entire career would get jeopardized and chances are bright that they may come in contact with hardened criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court



where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with
Muffasil P. S. Case No.269 of 2020, subject to the conditions
laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

