

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76627 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2025 Thana- Pachpakdi District- East Champaran

Ranju Devi W/o Baidhnath Paswan @ @ Baidyanath Paswan Resident of
Village- Khodi Pakad, P.S -Pachpakadi, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akbar Ali

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 19-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and is a woman and
allegation is of recovery of 4 litres of liquor from a place behind
the house of the petitioner. It is next submitted that petitioner was
not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from her
conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place
which does not belong to the petitioner but then is adjacent to
her house and she came to be implicated at the instance of local
people but the name of the person who disclosed the name of the
petitioner is not disclosed in the FIR, which casts an aspersion on
the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that once an



accused is implicated in a case relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically without proper investigation either through chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pachpakadi P.S. Case No.93/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than two cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed her antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of two cases, in that event



the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed
forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

