

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81306 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2020 Thana- BAGENGOLA District- Buxar

=====

Shailesh Kumar Singh S/O Late Baldau Singh @ Baldeo Singh R/O Village-
Dharauli, P.S- Bagen Gola, District.- Buxar (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 17-01-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no. 23 of 2022, arising out of Bagengola P.S. Case no. 98 of 2020 registered under sections 302, 307, 147, 148, 149, 341, 504, 506 and 447 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is said to have fired upon the informant's father, which hit the informant's father in his chest and leg and subsequently he succumbed to gunshot injuries.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 23.2.2023 (Annexure-1) passed in Cr. Misc. no. 52284 of 2022. In spite of the petitioner being in custody since



18.5.2022, the trial has still not concluded nor there is any chance of the same concluding in the near future. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report received contained in letter dated 5.12.2024, the sessions trial against the petitioner is at the stage of argument and the same is expected to conclude within a period of three months.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R. of being the assailant of the father of the informant together with the trial having reached near its conclusion, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

