

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81463 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2023 Thana- KOCHADHAMAN District- Kishanganj

Md. Salil Haidari @ Sahil Haidari Son of Late Md. Sadir @ Late Md. Sadir
Akhtar @ Late Md. Sahid Akhtar R/o - Ward No.- 10, Parwan Toli, Sontha,
P.S.- Kochathaman, District - Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Chandra Shekhar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 05-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Shri. Rabindra Kumar.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 504, 506, 307 and 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 19-9-2024.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that
from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would
manifest that no reason for the occurrence is disclosed, though
the informant alleges that the accused persons including the
petitioner intercepted her husband, and petitioner assaulted him



by sharp-edged weapon causing injury on head.

5. It is next submitted that no doubt the injury has been opined to be grievous but then from perusal of the injury which stands recorded in the injury report, it would manifest that the injury was 1"x1/4" and 1"x1/2" skin deep, thus submits that the injury as recorded does not even remotely suggest that the injury was grievous. It is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to land, both sides assaulted each other and during the course of assault, the husband of the informant fell, on account of which he received injury in his eyes also. It is next submitted that parties have compromised. It is also submitted that petitioner is a retired bank employee with blemishless service record and charge-sheet has been submitted.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kochadhaman P.S. Case No. 174 of 2023.



8. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

