

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77841 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-129 Year-2018 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

=====

Deepak Kumar Soni @ Deepak Soni Son of Ashok Soni Resident of Village -
South Sahatawar, P.S.- Sahatawar, District - Balia, U.P.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Sandhya Devi Wife of Deepak Kumar Soni @ Deepak Soni Resident of
Village - South Sahatwar, P.S.- Sahatwar, District - Balia, U.P.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Birman Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Khurshid Anwar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with C-129/2018, Tr. No. 1641/2024 registered for
the offences punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the
co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant
mentally and physically and ousted her from the matrimonial
home. Further, the petitioner denied to stay with the
complainant as he has filed a Divorce Case No. 217/2016
against the complainant.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is a general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner who is the husband of the complainant. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."** Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023.** The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saran, Chapra in connection with C-129/2018, Tr. No. 1641/2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, with further condition:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

